$\qquad$


ECONOMICS
FOURTH EDITION
N. GREGORYMANKIW

PowerPoint ${ }^{\circledR}$ Slides by Ron Cronovich $\qquad$
© 2007 Thomson South-Western, all rights reserved $\qquad$

In this chapter, look for the answers to these questions:
$ß$ What are the largest sources of tax revenue in the $\qquad$
U.S.?

B What are the efficiency costs of taxes?
ß How can we evaluate the equity of a tax system?

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## I ntroduction

$ß$ Lessons about taxes from earlier chapters:

- A tax on a good reduces the market quantity $\qquad$ of that good.
- The burden of a tax is shared between buyers and sellers depending on the price elasticities of demand and supply.
- A tax causes a deadweight loss.


## A Look at Taxation in the U.S.

First, we consider:
$ß$ how tax revenue as a share of national income $\qquad$ has changed over time
$ß$ how the U.S. compares to other countries with
$\qquad$ respect to taxation
$\beta$ the most important revenue sources for federal,
$\qquad$ state \& local govt $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
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| Central Govt Revenue (\% of GDP) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | France | 39\% |  |
|  | United Kingdom | 34 |  |
|  | Germany | 29 |  |
|  | Brazil | 20 |  |
|  | United States | 19 |  |
|  | Canada | 18 |  |
|  | Russia | 17 |  |
|  | Pakistan | 15 |  |
|  | Indonesia | 15 |  |
|  | Mexico | 13 |  |
|  | India | 10 |  |
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Receipts of the U.S. Federal Govt, 2004

| Tax | Amount <br> (billions) | Amount <br> per person | Percent <br> of Receipts |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Individual income taxes | $\$ 809$ | $\$ 2,753$ | $43 \%$ |
| Social insurance taxes | 733 | 2,494 | 39 |
| Corporate income taxes | 189 | 643 | 10 |
| Other | 149 | 507 | 8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 8 8 0}$ | $\$ 6,397$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
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| Receipts of State \& Local Govts, $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Tax | Amount <br> (billions) | Amount <br> per person | Percent <br> of Receipts |
| Sales taxes | $\$ 324$ | $\$ 1,102$ | $19 \%$ |
| Property taxes | 279 | 949 | 17 |
| Individual income taxes | 203 | 690 | 12 |
| Corporate income taxes | 28 | 99 | 2 |
| From federal govt | 361 | 1,228 | 21 |
| Other | 490 | 1,667 | 29 |
| Total | $\$ 1,685$ | $\$ 5,733$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
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| Taxes and Efficiency <br> $ß$ One tax system is more efficient than another if it |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| $\beta$ The costs to taxpayers include: |  |
| - the tax payment itself |  |
| - |  |
| - |  |
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## Deadweight Losses

$ß$ One of the Ten Principles: People respond to incentives. $\qquad$
ß Recall from Chapter 8:
Taxes distort incentives, cause people to allocate $\qquad$ resources according to tax incentives rather than true costs and benefits.

B The result: a deadweight loss.
The fall in taxpayers' well-being exceeds the revenue the govt collects.

## Income vs. Consumption Tax

$\beta$ The income tax reduces the incentive to save:

- If income tax rate $=25 \%$, $8 \%$ interest rate $=$
- The lost income compounds over time.

B Some economists advocate taxing consumption instead of income.
-

- better for individuals' retirement income security and long-run economic growth
$\qquad$
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## Income vs. Consumption Tax

ß Consumption tax-like provisions in the U.S. tax code include

- People can put a limited amount of saving into such accounts.
- The funds are not taxed until withdrawn at retirement.
$ß$ Europe's Value-Added Tax (VAT) is like a consumption tax.

| Administrative Burden |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| B |  |
| B |  |
| •e.g., hiring accountants to exploit "loopholes" |  |
| to reduce one's tax burden |  |
| B |  |
| B could be reduced if the tax code were simplified |  |
| but would require removing loopholes, |  |
| politically difficult |  |
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| Lump-Sum Taxes   <br> § A lump-sum tax is the same for every person   <br> ß Example: lump-sum tax = $\$ 4000 /$ person   <br> income average tax rate marginal tax rate <br> $\$ 20,000$   <br> $\$ 40,000$   |
| :--- |
| \begin{tabular}{\|c|}
\hline
\end{tabular} |

## Lump-Sum Taxes

- causes no deadweight loss
does not distort incentives, as a person's decisions have no tax consequences $\qquad$
no need to hire accountants, keep track of receipts, etc.
$\qquad$
Yet, not used because
- in dollar terms, the poor pay
- relative to income, the poor pay $\qquad$
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## Taxes and Equity

$ß$ Another goal of tax policy: equity - distributing the burden of taxes "fairly."
$ß$ Agreeing on what is "fair" is much harder than agreeing on what is "efficient."
$ß$ Yet, there are several principles people apply to evaluate the equity of a tax system.
$\qquad$


| The Ability-To-Pay Principle |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| B Ability-to-pay principle: |  |
|  |  |
| Bs suggests that all taxpayers should make an |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Brecognizes that the magnitude of the sacrifice |  |
| depends not just on the tax payment, but on the |  |
| person's income and other circumstances |  |
| - a $\$ 10,000$ tax bill is a bigger sacrifice for a |  |
| poor person than a rich person |  |
| CHAPTER 12 |  |
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| Vertical Equity |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| B Vertical equity: |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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| Three Tax Systems |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| \& Proportional tax: |  |
| \& Regressive tax: high-income taxpayers pay |  |
|  |  |
| \& Progressive tax: high-income taxpayers pay |  |
|  |  |
| CHAPTER 12 |  |

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Examples of the Three Tax Systems

|  | regressive |  | proportional |  | progressive |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| income | tax | $\%$ of <br> income | tax | $\%$ of <br> income | tax | $\%$ of <br> income |
| $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 15,000$ | $30 \%$ | $\$ 12,500$ | $25 \%$ | $\$ 10,000$ | $20 \%$ |
| 100,000 | 25,000 | 25 | 25,000 | 25 | 25,000 | 25 |
| 200,000 | 40,000 | 20 | 50,000 | 25 | 60,000 | 30 |
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## U.S. Federal Income Tax Rates: 2005

The U.S. has a progressive income tax.

| On taxable <br> income... | the tax rate <br> is... |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0-\$ 7,300$ | $10 \%$ |
| $7,300-29,700$ | $15 \%$ |
| $29,700-71,950$ | $25 \%$ |
| $71,950-150,150$ | $28 \%$ |
| $150,150-326,450$ | $33 \%$ |
| Over $\$ 326,450$ | $35 \%$ |

$\qquad$

| Horizontal Equity |
| :---: | :---: |
| B Horizontal equity: |

```
ACTIVE LEARNING 1A:
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## Taxes and Marriage

```
The income tax rate is \(25 \%\). The first \(\$ 20,000\) of income is excluded from taxation. Tax law treats a married couple as a single taxpayer.
Sam and Diane each earn \(\$ 50,000\).
i. If Sam and Diane are living together unmarried, what is their combined tax bill?
ii. If Sam and Diane are married, what is their tax bill?

\section*{ACTIVE LEARNING 1A: \\ Answers}
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)

\section*{ACTIVE LEARNING 1B: \\ \section*{Taxes and Marriage}}

The income tax rate is \(25 \%\). For singles, the first \(\$ 20,000\) of income is excluded from taxation.
For married couples, the exclusion is \(\$ 40,000\).
Harry earns \$0. Sally earns \$100,000.
i. If Harry and Sally are living together unmarried, what is their combined tax bill?
ii. If Harry and Sally are married, what is their tax bill?

\section*{ACTIVE LEARNING 1B: \\ Answers}

\section*{Marriage Taxes and Subsidies}
ß In current U.S. tax code,
B Many have advocated reforming the tax system
to be neutral with respect to marital status... \(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
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\section*{Marriage Taxes and Subsidies}

Ideally, a tax system would have these properties:

However, designing a tax system with all four of these properties is

Tax Incidence and Tax Equity
\& Recall: The person who bears the burden is not always the person who gets the tax bill.
\(\beta\) Example: A tax on fur coats
-
- But furs are a luxury, with very elastic demand
- The tax shifts demand away from furs,

B Lesson: When evaluating tax equity, must take tax incidence into account.

\section*{Who Pays the Corporate Income Tax?}
\(\beta\) When the govt levies a tax on a corporation,

B The burden of the tax ultimately falls on people. \(\qquad\)
ß Suppose govt levies a tax on car companies
\begin{tabular}{|l|}
\hline Flat tax: Flat Taxes \\
B Typically, income above a certain threshold is \\
B The higher the threshold, \\
B Radically reduces administrative burden \\
B Not popular with \\
\\
B Used in some central/eastern European countries \\
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CONCLUSI ON: The Trade-Off Between
Efficiency and Equity
B The goals of efficiency and equity often conflict:
- E.g.,
B Political leaders differ in their views on this
tradeoff.
BS Economics
- can help us better understand the tradeoff
- can help us avoid policies that sacrifice
efficiency without any increase in equity
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\(ß\) Political leaders differ in their views on this tradeoff.
\(\qquad\)
ß Economics
- can help us better understand the tradeoff
- can help us avoid policies that sacrifice hapter 12 THE DESIGN OF THE TAX SYSTEM```

