DATA WAREHOUSING LECTURE 4

ENGR. MADEHA MUSHTAQ DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE IQRA NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

- Normalization is fundamentally about not having the same information twice or minimizing duplication.
- Normalization is to reduce and even eliminate data redundancy.
- Goals of normalization:
 - Eliminate redundant data.
 - Ensure data dependencies make sense.

- Normalization is necessary to remove file maintenance anomalies, which are side effects of deletion, updating and insertion.
- Update anomalies:
 - If data items are scattered and are not linked to each other properly, then it could lead to strange situations.
 - For example, when we try to update one data item having its copies scattered over several places, a few instances get updated properly while a few others are left with old values. Such instances leave the database in an inconsistent state.

- Deletion anomalies:
 - We tried to delete a record, but parts of it was left undeleted because of unawareness, the data is also saved somewhere else.
- Insert anomalies:
 - We tried to insert data in a record that does not exist at all.
 - Normalization is a method to remove all these anomalies and bring the database to a consistent state.

- Functional Dependency:
 - Functional dependency (FD) is a set of constraints between two attributes in a relation.
 - Functional dependency is represented by an arrow sign (\rightarrow) that is, $X \rightarrow Y$, where X functionally determines Y.
 - The left-hand side attributes determine the values of attributes on the righthand side.

- Partial Dependency:
 - PD exists, when for a composite primary key, any attribute in the table depends only on a part of the primary key and not on the complete primary key.
 - To remove Partial dependency, we can divide the table, remove the attribute which is causing partial dependency, and move it to some other table where it fits in well.

- Transitive Dependency:
 - When a non-key attribute depends on other non-key attributes rather than depending upon the primary key.
 - A transitive dependency is when changing a non-key column, might cause any of the other non-key columns to change.

- Codd in 1971 in his seminal paper formulated a number of design principles for a relational database that can be formalized into three normal forms:
 - First Normal Form
 - Second Normal Form
 - Third Normal Form

NORMALIZATION :1NF

- All attributes must have a single(atomic) value, BUT it can contain redundant data.
- Each attribute must contain only a single value from its pre-defined domain.
- Table 1 is not in 1NF as each column is not containing single/atomic values.

| roll_no | name | subject |
|---------|------|---------|
| 101 | Akon | OS, CN |
| 103 | Ckon | Java |
| 102 | Bkon | C, C++ |
| | • | · |

NORMALIZATION :1NF

• Here is our updated table and it now satisfies the First Normal Form.

| roll_no | name | subject |
|---------|------|---------|
| 101 | Akon | OS |
| 101 | Akon | CN |
| 103 | Ckon | Java |
| 102 | Bkon | С |
| 102 | Bkon | C++ |

- Must be in First Normal Form and every non-key attribute is fully dependent on the primary key.
- This implies eliminating attributes which are not dependent on primary key.
- There should be no Partial Dependency.
- For student table, student_id is the primary key.
- For Subject table, subject_id is the primary key.
- For score table, we have a composite primary key (student_id, subject_id).

| student_id | name | reg_no | branch |
|------------|------|--------|--------|
| 10 | Akon | 07-WY | CSE |
| 11 | Akon | 08-WY | IT |

| subject_id | subject_name |
|------------|--------------|
| 1 | Java |
| 2 | C++ |
| 3 | Php |

Student

Subject

| score_id | student_id | subject_id | marks | teacher |
|----------|------------|------------|-------|--------------|
| 1 | 10 | 1 | 70 | Java Teacher |
| 2 | 10 | 2 | 75 | C++ Teacher |
| 3 | 11 | 1 | 80 | Java Teacher |

Score

- Now if we look at the Score table, we have a column named teacher which is only dependent on the subject.
- Now as we just discussed that the primary key for this table is a composition of two columns which is student_id & subject_id but the teacher's name only depends on subject, hence the subject_id, and has nothing to do with student_id.
- This is Partial Dependency, where an attribute in a table depends on only a part of the primary key and not on the whole key.

| subject_id | subject_name | teacher |
|------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1 | Java | Java Teacher |
| 2 | C++ | C++ Teacher |
| 3 | Php | Php Teacher |

Our Score table is now in the second normal form, with no partial dependency.

| score_id | student_id | subject_id | marks |
|----------|------------|------------|-------|
| 1 | 10 | 1 | 70 |
| 2 | 10 | 2 | 75 |
| 3 | 11 | 1 | 80 |

- Must be in second normal form and every non-key attribute is dependent on the primary key, the whole primary key and nothing but the primary key.
- This implies eliminating attributes which are dependent on a non-key attribute.
- There should be no Transitive Dependency.

• In the Score table, we need to store some more information, which is the exam name and total marks, so let's add 2 more columns to the Score table.

| score_id | student_id | subject_id | marks | exam_name | total_marks |
|----------|------------|------------|-------|-----------|-------------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Primary key for our Score table is a composite key, (student_id, subject_id)

- Our new column exam_name is dependent on both student_id and subject_id.
- However, the other new column total_marks depends on exam_name as with exam type the total score changes.
- But exam_name is just another column in the score table. It is not a primary key.
- This is transitive dependency.

• To remove the transitive dependency, we can create a new table Exam and put the columns exam_name and total_marks in this new table.

| exam_id | exam_name | total_marks |
|---------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | Workshop | 200 |
| 2 | Mains | 70 |
| 3 | Practicals | 30 |

- Conclusions:
- Generally a good idea is to only ensure 2NF.
- 3NF is at the cost of simplicity and performance.
- Normalization usually results in the formation of many tables.
- Normalization is well suited for transactional processing.
- But not for DSS as they aim at analyzing rather than processing.
- The multitude of tables causes problems in many analysis techniques that are designed to work in a DWH environment.

END OF SLIDES