

## **Question No.01**

The lawmakers the oppose the notion by arguing that it will diverse the society into pluralistic way .in this regard, social and religious prominence will be enhanced. Thus, it is not wise to stir controversial issues which might impact society in a negative and harmful way. whereas detractors contradict from the path adopted by the lawmakers and support this law.

## **Question No.02**

First of all religion is a private matter of an individual the can perform there religious duties unless it may not harm the national interest of that country.

No country can allow extreme freedom either in a religiously or socially. If some religions may have such practices which are against human rights.in a free country within a certain and legal ambit all social and religious practices are allowed equally.

There is no differential between any religion and social structure in a eye of a state. Therefore all of them have the same rights and obligations within a state.

State will protect the religious and social rights of each individual but it must be lawful.

## **Question No.03**

In our country, Pakistan , we cannot oppose the face veiling either religiously or socially. Because according to state constitution. Pakistan is an Islamic state.

Islam does allow face veiling for women we cannot hinder that right which islam bestows to women.

The state will support each bit of islamic practices because the state is firm on the foundation of islam.

Islamic was the reason behind the idea of separate state where muslims can freely perform there religious activities.

Moreover the slogan of Pakistan is “LAILLA HA ILLALAH”.  
As an Islamic state we cannot ban hijab in our country because  
it is our religious practice and right of every muslim.