

PAKISTAN STUDY ASSIGNMENT"

FARISHTA, 16224.

FIRST TOPIC: PAKISTAN FOREIGN POLICY 9/11 ERA.

INTRODUCTION:

Foreign policy of any nation is determined by the number of factors like security, economy, geography, ideological interest and many more. Pakistan, because of its historic past and its position in the Muslim world had to face tough choices in terms of foreign policy making. The country's foreign policy was mostly based on its security and economic interests. Pakistan's rivalry with India and the issue of Kashmir reflected heavily upon its foreign policy during the Cold War period and it still does have a profound effect on the country's foreign policy. The country after maintaining a period of neutrality during the initial phase of Cold War decided to choose its path by being the part of Baghdad Pact in 1955, a move which annoyed the Muslim world. Pakistan, however, justified this alliance by claiming this was done in order to secure protection from India. However, this was primarily because of the country's weak military and economic position that it chose to join the pact. Moreover, it was the pressure and promise by US of military and economic support to the country that Pakistan entered the US led Capitalist bloc. By doing this the country tried to balance its equation with its nearest rival India, with whom it had fought four wars. After the creation of Pakistan on religious grounds, there were questions concerning not only the survival of Pakistan, but also regarding its ideology - whether it would be an Islamic state or a secular one. The founding father of the country, Mohammad Ali Jinnah made it clear at the very outset that Pakistan will be a secular state with religious freedom granted to everyone regardless of their particular faith. Pakistan was a country composed principally of Muslims but essentially secular and democratic in its constitution and political institutions. He made this clear in a speech on 11 August 1947, three days before independence, when he told members of the Constituent Assembly that: 'You are free to go to your temples; you are free to go to your mosques or to any other places of worship in this State of Pakistan. You may belong to any religion or caste or creed - that has got nothing to do with the business of the State. There have been instances of Islamization of Pakistan's foreign policy under different regimes. Also, the Pakistan's establishment faced pressure from the *lamas* who wanted the state to be declared as an Islamic state in principle. Pakistan suffered a massive jolt by the unfolding of events in 1971 when it lost its East Pakistan – present day Bangladesh, which also brought to fore the active part played by India in this process. This resulted in an even greater animosity between India and Pakistan and the two countries started looking at each other as a threat. This was aptly summed up by Bhutto, under whom Pakistan showed much vigor and vision in its foreign policy, when he made a statement in 1965 that "if India makes an atomic bomb, then we will also do so, even if we have to eat grass, an atomic bomb can only be answered by an atomic bomb. India's close ties with US meant that any plea of help Pakistan expected from US in 1965 and 1971 war against India was not met. This reflected that US -Pakistan relationship was directed more towards thwarting communism rather than what Pakistan expected it to be a strategic partner against any offensive. So, marred by the severe challenges from both outside and within, Pakistan formally chalked its foreign policy which was based on its security and economic interests. In the wake of Soviet invasion of Afghanistan, Pakistan joined the US led military coalition (NATO) and fought against the Soviet led communism. In its pursuit of foreign policy goals, the country sought to improve its relationship both within the region and outside as well. Moreover, the country tried unsuccessfully to pursue its independent foreign policy and reduce

its growing dependency on US. The country has maintained stronger ties with China and Saudi Arabia since long. Pakistan after a brief period of resentment from many Muslim countries, has now improved its relationship with many countries in the Gulf region, and also it has improved its ties with the countries in Central and South Asia.

Pakistan's Foreign Policy towards the United States

9/11 was a watershed moment in the annals of the modern times. It was an event which shook the world and changed the dynamics of world politics. This all happened when twin towers in America were hit by hijacked planes and the men from Al- Qaeda were said to responsible for the attack. This attack not only resulted in the death of more than 3000 people, but shook the very pride of the US and took the world by storm. What followed thereafter is what is referred to as "War on Terror". The US was very firm in its stand to wipe out the roots of the terrorism and to bring perpetrators of the attack to justice. In its fight against terror, the US garnered international support and thus began the never ending circle of violence, whose first victim became Afghanistan. Pakistan shared cordial relations with the US which were marred by occasional breakdowns because of the shaping of political events in Pakistan. Disappointment at the US support to India during the Sino-India war and the breakdown of democracy in Pakistan especially after Zulfikar Ali Bhutto was removed from office dented the relationship between the two countries.

The shaping of events after 9/11 granted a new lease to the relationship between US and Pakistan. The US needed Pakistan for its strategic reasons, as the latter being the neighbors of Afghanistan could prove a potent weapon in its fight against terror. It was the time when Taliban had maintained a stronghold in Afghanistan and the US believed that Osama was not only hiding there, but was protected by Taliban. After Taliban refused to hand over Osama to America, the latter believed that the sanctuary of terrorists operating from

Afghanistan is a threat to world peace and thus decided to invade Afghanistan for which it sought the help from

Pakistan. But it was not an easy decision for Pakistan to join the bandwagon of "War on Terror" as the country was already grappling with the security problems and by choosing to be US allied was inviting more trouble to its already precarious situation, as Ahmed Rashid has rightly described the relations between the two countries after 9/11 as torturous. Pakistan has always been a country where military has dominated the politics and is always believed to call shots even if there is an elected civilian government. When 9/11 happened, Pakistan was headed by the powerful military ruler, General Pervez Musharraf, so the US had no choice but to deal with him and to seek his support. There was a growing lobby within Pakistan consisting of *ulemas* who didn't want their country to fight against their Muslim brethren. Also, Pakistan supported the Taliban regime in Afghanistan. Moreover, many people take it for a fact that Pakistan's relations with the US are determined by what is in the interest of US, not Pakistan. After initial phase of reluctance shown by Pakistan, it formally joined US and became one of its most important strategic allies. Pervez Musharraf justified this alliance by saying that the country had no choice but to join the alliance as the US had threatened of "bombing it into stone age" in case it declines to join US.¹ Thereafter the US was allowed to use the air bases in Pakistan to mount attacks against

¹

Afghanistan and also provided a loan of \$1 billion to Pakistan for its cooperation. This also meant signing of Kerry Lugar bill in 2009, which was to provide 7.5 billion dollar of aid to Pakistan over the period of five years, which was later on suspended after Bin Laden Killing. Pakistan maintained the foreign policy of strengthening its relations with the US even though it meant the heavy losses for Pakistan in terms of human casualties.² This also resulted in a further decline in its already precarious relations with Afghanistan as the estranged Taliban directly blamed Pakistan and directed their attacks against Pakistan. In turn Pakistan continued to receive aid from America which it would channel into meeting its security and economic interests. Pakistan has been a constant recipient of US aid which is said to be over \$20 billion in 21st century. While the military alliance grew between US and Pakistan, Pakistan was sceptical about it, as for them US was pushing the country in a deep quagmire of political turbulence and US believed that Pakistan continued its tacit support for Taliban. Kasuri, in his book also opined the same: "The US continued to accuse Pakistan of „running with the hare and hunting with the hound“ by not giving up its policy of support to the Afghan Taliban". Nevertheless, Pakistan couldn't abandon its relationship with US for strategic reasons including the support on much valued Kashmir issue with India. This also meant lifting of sanctions imposed on Pakistan and also how Pakistan used this opportunity to accuse India of shying away from dialogue over Kashmir issue.

After the US invasion of Afghanistan and the claim of dismantling of terror network, it mounted a war against Iraq on the grounds that it possessed Weapons of Mass destruction (WMD). Pakistan was again caught in a lurch and despite denouncing the attack on Iraq couldn't break the ties with the US. Kasuri, in his book says, "Although Pakistan faced immense pressure from the US, there was strong public sentiment in the country for respecting the sovereignty and territorial integrity of Iraq". The relationship of mistrust continued as was apparent when in 2008 the US carried an air strike which killed 11 paramilitary soldiers of Pakistan Army Frontier Corps which led to a backlash from Pakistan and termed it as shaking of mutual trust and cooperation.

Also, in the later period when 24 Pakistani soldiers died in US led air strikes as a result of which Pakistan asked US to vacate Salala air base which the US used in its offensive against Taliban.¹⁸ The incidents like these demonstrated as to how Pakistan values its relationship with the US as they knew it is in their interest despite growing strong public opinion to sever ties with US.

After Obama took over the US presidential office in 2009, he made it clear that his predecessor George Bush had largely ignored the problems faced by Pakistan and how it posed serious threat to the regional stability if left unaddressed. Pakistan also witnessed a change in its political environment after 2008 general elections, which forced Musharraf to resign and an elected civilian government formed by President Asif Ali Zardari. An important event took place on May 1, 2011 resulting in the capture and killing of the most wanted man, Osama bin Laden, who US believed was the mastermind of 9/11 attacks. This raid by US Navy SEAL and the operation inside Pakistan's Abbottabad posed serious challenges to the Pakistan-US relations. While Pakistan blamed the US of violating the country's sovereignty, the US blamed Pakistan of shielding the man they were looking for since that fateful event. There were talks of "divorce" between the two countries, but somehow that moment was not to be which reflects that the relationship between the two countries no matter how old suffered from mutual trust deficit and further aggravated the fragile situation in Pakistan.²⁰ The country faced host of challenges as it can't afford to hamper its ties with rest of the world by pleasing the US. Analysts argued that certain factors

deteriorated the situation in Pakistan and these include the emergence of Pakistani Taliban who has become more dangerous now, division in the Pakistan's national security paradigm and the use of Islamic militants- jihadi groups, non-state actors- to pursue its defence and foreign policy goals.³ Also the "drone attacks" by the US has resulted in civilian casualties and has thus become another matter of contestation between the two countries. The shaping of events in Pakistan and the relationship with the US left Pakistan with no choice but to launch assault in the FATA (Federally Administered Tribal Areas) which was believed to be a safe haven for terrorists. This ploy of seeking goodwill of US has a huge bearing on Pakistan, be it in terms of human lives lost or in economic terms. The ghastly attack on military school in Peshawar was a chilling reminder of how Pakistan has been left battered by this menace of terrorism which is threatening its existence and which is believed to be a consequence of Pakistan's joining of US led „War on Terror“.

Pakistan's Foreign Policy towards India:

The incident of 9/11 worsened the already fragile security situation in South Asia where the relations between Pakistan and India have for the most part remained adversarial. Pakistan's foreign policy towards India has been primarily security driven. The two countries have over the period of time fought four wars and border skirmishes have marked their relationship for most of the times. The major bone of contention between the two is that of Kashmir issue, with both the parties claiming the land in its entirety. The two countries have always looked at each other as potential threat and tried not to concede an edge of one over the other. This has reflected in forging of alliances, and when India successfully tested nuclear weapons in 1998, Pakistan responded by successfully test firing its own nuclear weapons and thus restored balance with its rival. The separatist movement started by the Kashmiris against what they deem as an occupation by India was supported by Pakistan for its own interests while at the same time India supported the insurgency movement in Baluchistan. So, this challenging relationship between the two countries has been deemed as a threat to the peace in South Asia and hence the calls for ending hostilities have been growing. After the 9/11 incident, Pakistan's growing proximity with the US caused discomfort in the Indian camp and with both parties in order to please the US were accusing each other of fomenting trouble in their respective countries. India in its attempt to woo the US and to keep Pakistan away from the US coalition, tried to exploit the situation and seek Washington's help in declaring Pakistan as a terrorist state. Pakistan, during the time when Kasuri was the Foreign Minister made it clear that any solution to Kashmir should be acceptable to the people of Kashmir and to the Governments of both Pakistan and India. While India on the other hand has blamed Pakistan for lack of sincerity and its support for militants to wage attacks against India, which resulted in the derailment of dialogue process between the two countries. With the effective use of backchannel diplomacy and Confidence Building Measures (CBM"s), growing tensions between the two were defused to a considerable extent and in a significant move Musharraf was invited to Agra Summit in an attempt to normalize the ties-- an attempt which many regarded as a failure. Another visit this time by Indian Prime Minister Vajpayee to Pakistan in 2004 on the side-lines of SAARC summit was a step towards bringing an end to the troubled relationship between the two countries. While India insisted on its demand of dismantling terror networks operating from Pakistan, Pakistan reiterated that the root causes of this needs to be addressed-- which means resolution of lingering Kashmir issue. India has always treated Kashmir issue as a bilateral one with Pakistan and thus reduced the role of Hurriyat

leadership in Kashmir who claim to represent the mass aspirations. Also, the role of armed rebellion which started in 1989 was also delegitimized by India. Hence, in this scenario the negotiations between Pakistan and India over Kashmir issue have always been a subject of criticism in the two countries. Pakistan's foreign policy stance over the contested Kashmir issue, though drawing flak from many quarters, has been of taking Kashmiri Hurriyat leadership on board before entering into any negotiations. Although Kashmir issue was side-lined by Pakistan on numerous instances, but they have constantly reiterated the utmost importance of Kashmir issue by emphasizing that Kashmir runs into the blood of most of the Pakistani's.⁴ The two countries realizing the importance of peaceful relations with each other continued the dialogue and in General Musharraf visited India in 2005 and was pretty optimistic with the outcome of the meeting, especially with the issuance of Joint Statement with India. In another significant development bus service across the LOC in 2005 heralded a path breaking development which was the result of the negotiations and paved the way for further peace building. Although Kashmir issue occupied the central stage when it came to negotiations, there were other host of issues like water sharing issue which was deemed as major threat to peace, besides other issues related to Siachin and Sir Creek.⁵ Despite facing immense hurdles, Pakistan and India carried on dialogue process with occasional breaks to resolve all issues. Notwithstanding the cynical voices opposed to the dialogue process, it needs to be carried forward. After the change of guard in both the countries no major development in solving these issues has been reached, apart from the famous Four Point Formula by Musharraf which did not have many takers. Despite the repeated attempts to stall the dialogue by some elements, the two countries have continued negotiations. In order to boost the respective economies, Pakistan Cabinet approved the MFN (Most Favored Nation) status to India in 2012, which would boost the bilateral trade to US \$6 billion by 2014- move which was criticized by Kashmiri freedom leaders and the people within Pakistan. Now that India is headed by the fire brand leader Namenda, many people believe that Pakistan will find it tough to deal with him and his approach on Kashmir and other issues will be different from that of the Congress Party. Some analysts believe that restoration of democracy in Pakistan, has made things bit easier and the growing friendship and bonhomie between Nawaz Sharif and Modi is expected to throw interesting things in future relations between the two countries.

Pakistan's Foreign Policy towards Afghanistan:

Ever since Afghanistan and Pakistan fought on the same side in their fight against Soviet Union, the two countries have been far from maintaining cordial relationship with each other. The two Countries sharing the same history and bound by ties of faith, culture and geographical proximity have locked horns over issues related to security and Pashtuns. In its attempt to achieve its broader foreign policy goals, Pakistan has sought to mend its ties with Afghanistan with whom it also had troubled past relations as it is being blamed for its interference in country's politics including the support from ISI to start insurgency in Afghanistan for its own interests and ambitions.⁶ The underlying assumption for this Pakistan interference was that after the withdrawal of US forces, the US will grant leeway to India to use its influence in Afghanistan and thus will undermine the authority of Pakistan. Failing to achieve its set of objectives from Afghan War (rebuilding the Afghan state and defeating Taliban), the US and NATO forces began to withdraw from Afghanistan and the country was left in shambles with the threat of civil

war looming war. The US regarded Pakistan as a corner stone for the regional peace and thus expected it to play a major role in restoring some sort of stability in the region. The earlier rationale for good relations with Afghanistan and need for "strategic depth" was that in case of war with India, the latter could give its army support and space, was later discarded by Pakistan army and officers as „meaningless“ owing to the possession of nuclear weapons.⁷ After facing the difficult situation in the wake of

9/11 attacks, in their bid to improve relations, the two countries signed 2002 „Kabul Declaration on Good Neighbourly Relations“ which was based on the policy of non-interference. This was followed by the visit of

Pakistan's Foreign Minister to Afghanistan in 2003 where in Pakistan ensured of not allowing its territory being used against any attack inside Afghanistan.⁸ While Afghanistan accused Pakistan of interfering in its country with increasing evidence, Pakistan on the other hand expressed displeasure that the grave problems faced by the country were being overlooked. Pakistan made it clear to the Afghanistan that it favoured the negotiated settlement which also includes bringing Taliban to the table. President Karzai of Afghanistan was very vocal in its approach about the involvement of Pakistan in fomenting trouble in his country, and made it clear that Afghans were not so foolish as to turn their country into a battleground because of clash of interests between India and Pakistan and at the same time also emphasized that Afghanistan did not hold any ill will against Pakistan.⁹ Pakistan being conscious about reducing the Indian footprint in Afghanistan provided support to Karzai Government and also marking a high point when Pakistan invited Karzai to be the Guest of Honor at the Pakistan Parade Day in 2005. Further, by bolstering their economic ties from paltry US \$23 million to the tune of \$1.2 billion between them in 2005-06, Pakistan set the precedent for the importance it attaches to Afghanistan.³⁵ Giving further push to the increasing diplomatic ties with Afghanistan, Pakistan opened up its borders to accommodate the huge influx of refugees, which is the direct result of on-going war in Afghanistan, and it is being estimated that Pakistan still hosts 3 million refugees from Afghanistan. It also announced \$100 million aid to meet the challenges of reconstruction and rehabilitation in Afghanistan.

Relations with China and Russia:

In what is referred to as Diplomatic Outreach, Pakistan's has over the period of time built healthy relations with China despite being inclined more towards the West. China understood that it was because of security challenges Pakistan feared from India that it was comfortable with choosing Pakistan as its strong regional ally and in return Pakistan supported China's berth in UN Security Council. The relationship between the two countries has for the most part been cordial and even after the incident of 9/11, the relationship between the two witnessed an upward trend and the period was marked by frequent exchanges of heads of states and the signing of various agreements, one among them being the Treaty of Friendship, Cooperation and Good Neighbourly Relations in²⁰⁰⁵. Pakistan will be keen to further strengthen its ties with China and to take this relationship to new heights which gets reflected by its willingness to open the traditional Silk Route which connects the West and the East and thus to give boosts their economic ties. Also, Pakistan has handed over Gwadar Port to China which links South Asia to China and Central and West Asia and can help Pakistan to give a further push to its economy; this

move has raised ripples in India who think it to be the strategic move from China which it could use against India in case of a war.

Pakistan's foreign policy towards Russia has come a long way from its Cold War days when they fought alongside US and Afghan Mujahedeen and both the countries realized the importance of maintaining good relations, improved their relationship post 9/11 incident. Russia, grappling with its internal issues and the insurgency in Chechnya, Dagestan and the Caucasus, found a way to join the growing consensus among the international community on terrorism. The two countries have since then marked an improvement in their ties and the potential of trade in terms of oil and gas has also been an important factor to shape their relationship.

The starting of Joint Working Groups (JWG) in different fields and the visits to each other's countries which started after a gap of thirty years when Russian Prime Minister, Mikhail Fradkov visited Pakistan in 2007 and later in 2012 the period witnessed signing of Memoranda of Mutual Understanding.¹⁰ The results began to yield fruits when Russia lifted an embargo in weapon sales to Pakistan and with this future prospectus with Russia looked good for Pakistan.

Relationship with the Gulf and the Middle East:

With the countries in the Gulf, Pakistan has always maintained a healthy relationship more particularly with Saudi Arabia which has been a long term ally and a trusted friend of Pakistan. At the times when sanctions were imposed on Pakistan, Saudi Arabia acted as a saviors for the country on numerous occasions by providing aid and other logistical support. The strong Muslim connection apart from the security reasons has been a driving force for this relationship to thrive, but Pakistan has for most of the times been caught up in the battle for regional supremacy between Saudi Arabia, Iran and Turkey. While as Arab countries in the Middle East have looked upon Pakistan as a Muslim power, as it is the only Muslim nuclear power country, Pakistan in turn has supported the countries in the region on many instances by opposing the war on Iraq and also on Iran over the latter's nuclear programed. It has also refused to accept the statehood of Israel and also supports the fight for the recognition of independent Palestine. Pakistan for the most of its part maintained neutrality when the "Arab Spring" swept the Middle East region in 2008 and brought about the regime changes in Tunisia Egypt, Libya and has unleashed civil war in Syria which has killed more than 200,000 people. It was only recently in a significant departure from its position of neutrality that Pakistan has decided to oppose any attempt which threatens the removal of Assad. The resourceful region has always remained a source of attraction for most of the countries and thus Pakistan is not staying behind because of its own interests in the region.

[Conclusion](#)

Pakistan's foreign policy like any other country has been expanding its sphere of influence not only in the region, but in the world politics as well. Its foreign policy has for the most part been Indo- centric which has thus impeded its growth in relationship with other countries. Pakistan perceives existential threat to its territorial integrity from India and this consideration has always essentially influenced its foreign

policy and behaviors. The country's backing of non-state actors in its proxy war against India has backfired as is evident from the mounting attacks from TTP (Tehreek-e Taliban Pakistan) and other elements on its own soil. The precarious security situation in the country has posed serious challenges to its existence and the elements hell bent upon seeing Pakistan in chaos should be tamed by taking every stakeholder on board. So the need of the hour is to have better relations with the neighboring countries, more particularly with India which is in the mutual interest of both the countries. The need to initiate dialogue and negotiation for resolving the pending issues is only the best way forward. Moreover, Pakistan has excessively relied on the US that no doubt has helped the country to boost its economy and defence capability, but it has also brought miseries of unprecedented magnitude to the country and thus alienated the large section of its society, which does not augur well for any country. It is the time for Pakistan to stand up to face the mounting challenges and try to address its spiraling internal issues, rather than to remain obsessed with India. Unless and until Pakistan doesn't have a good relationship with its neighboring countries, more particularly with India and Afghanistan, the more problematic it becomes to pursue its own national interests and to bring about stability and peace in South Asia.

SECOND TOPIC: CPEC POSTPECTS AND CHALLENGES

Introduction

—China-Pakistan relationship is a brotherly friendship that has been tested by times of difficulty and forged by mutual trust. For the last sixty years, China and Pakistan have adhered to the five principles of peaceful co-existence, respected each other, acted in good faith, shared weal and woe, and supported each other laying a solid foundation for the bilateral friendly relationships. Over the last sixty years, China-Pakistan friendly relations have withstood international vicissitudes and rooted in the hearts of the two peoples. Under the attention and care of state leaders from the two countries, China-Pakistan relationships have yielded fruitful results over the last 60 years. Through the friendly cooperation over the last 60 years, two countries become all-weather good friends, acting as an example for the peaceful co-existence between the countries with different social systems.

Entering the 21st century, along with the economic globalization, the new generation of Chinese leaders shows great foresight and makes the second strategic decision in China-Pakistan relations, which is actively responded by Pakistani leaders. If the first strategic decision in China-Pakistan relations was based on the political diplomacy and state relations, then what accounts for the second strategic decision? As clearly pointed out by Premier Li Keying, the deeply-rooted traditional friendship has laid a solid foundation for further developing China-Pakistan relations, while the fast-changing era poses higher requirements for advancing the bilateral strategic cooperation in an all-around way. Now, China is at a crucial stage to build a moderately prosperous society in all respects. To build a prosperous, strong,

democratic, culturally advanced, and harmonious modern socialist country and to realize the Chinese dream of the great rejuvenation of the Chinese nation, China must maintain a continuous and healthy economic growth and upgrade economic structure, which requires to unleash the dividends of reforms and open wider to the outside world. Opening westwards is a key option for China. China and Pakistan need to deepen comprehensive strategic cooperation, explore the pragmatic cooperation in all areas and formulate a long-term plan for the China-Pakistan economic corridor project, promote the connectivity between South and East Asia, help to improve people's livelihood, promote the economic development in neighboring countries, and set an example for state-to-state cooperation. In other words, China and Pakistan need to strengthen all-round strategic cooperation and highlight economic cooperation, with an emphasis on improving people's livelihood.

In May 2013, Premier Li Keqiang paid a visit to Pakistan and reached important consensus with Pakistani leaders on planning and constructing the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor connecting Kashgar in Xinjiang and Port of Gwadar in Pakistan from north to south. Located at the juncture of —New Silk Road Economic Belt and Maritime Silk Road in the 21st Century,, the corridor connects Pakistan to South Asia and the Indian Ocean.

Standing at a starting point in the new century, China and Pakistan jointly propose to construct —China-Pakistan Economic Corridor|| which is a dream for both countries and also a strategic choice for China and Pakistan to pursue the peaceful development with support of the two peoples.

Constructing China-Pakistan Economic Corridor as a Strategic Choice for China and Pakistan Economic corridor is a comprehensive and broad concept. Based on existing trunk highways and railways in China and Pakistan, the corridor will bring into shape a cross-border network of highways, railways, airlines, fiber-optic cables, and oil-gas pipelines. The corridor project includes infrastructure construction (connecting Karakorum Highway in Kashgar to Port of Gwadar, providing a direct access to the Indian Ocean), energy projects (coal power and hydropower projects), as well as economic park projects (for textile, home appliance and other sectors). With the deepening of corridor planning and construction, the corridor will further enrich its connotation and expand its scope.

The China-Pakistan railway will go through the Karakoram mountains, where the geographic structure is complex and the construction of the project is difficult. However, this project is still feasible. It is known that the project is hampered by fund shortage, rather than road-paving technology. Pakistan is very positive about the construction of China Pakistan railway, and it has made feasible analyses. The Pakistani section of the planned China-Pakistan railway is 662 km from Haveli to Khunjerab Pass via Gilgit, and 415 km from Kashgar to Khunjerab Pass. That's to say, only nearly 50% of railway line needs to be renovated to complete over 2,000 km of railway lines from Kashgar and Gwadar Port.

Some scholars show their worry about the safety of China-Pakistan Economic Corridor by publishing papers. Insecurity issues do exist in reality, however; it will not endanger the construction of the economic corridor. Safety and economic development are two complementary factors. The construction of the economic corridor will undoubtedly promote the common prosperity and stability in both Pakistan and Xinjiang. As both Pakistan and Xinjiang are Muslim congregated areas, the impacts of the interaction between two regions cannot be ignored. Pakistan will directly benefit from the construction of the economic corridor which will help Pakistan to improve its economic strength, establish social equality, improve people's livelihood and promote social harmony and stability. Xinjiang is also the largest beneficiary in that the construction of the economic corridor would also promote the economic development and social security in Xinjiang. So, it has every reason to say that the construction of China-Pakistan Economic Corridor is important for the development and stability of Pakistan and Xinjiang. It is an urgent project that needs to be implemented soon.

Suggestions for China-Pakistan Economic Corridor Planning

Chinese and Pakistani leaders have paid high attention to the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor. The two sides have established the Joint Cooperation Committee on the long term plan for the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor. The committee has held five meetings so far. The Working Groups on energy, transport infrastructure, and comprehensive planning under the joint committee have maintained close communication. In the future, both sides will steadily advance corridor construction, carrying out the relevant construction projects (such as Port of Gwadar, Lahore-Karachi highway and Karakorum highway), and implementing electric power, new energy and other key cooperation projects. The highway, railway, and other interconnection projects will also be advanced progressively to promote the sustainable and healthy development of the corridor.

The corridor covers the populated and economically developed regions in Pakistan. In the process of corridor construction, both sides will find out more cooperation opportunities, stimulate more investments, and create more employment opportunities. After its completion, the corridor will stimulate the flow of people, logistics, energy resource, information, and funds across two countries, and will greatly improve the standards of pragmatic cooperation and interest integration between the two countries. The corridor also welcomes other regions or countries to participate in its construction, so as to promote regional interconnection and economic integration, and benefit the three billion local residents.

Taking the pursuits of both countries into consideration from the strategic perspective China-Pakistan Economic Corridor is an important part of the developmental strategies of neighbor countries. It is not only crucial to China's border and energy security, but also significant for China's strategy, politics and military affairs. Thus, the economic corridor plan shall consider the pursuits of China and Pakistan from the strategic perspective, so as to realize a coordinated development and mutual prosperity. It is worth noting that we need to consider both countries' economic development, and China's neighbor country strategies when setting the objectives of the economic corridor. We need to actively promote the construction of China-Pakistan economic corridor, with an emphasis on China's border security and

stability. We need to strengthen reciprocal economic cooperation, bearing in mind that two countries have varied national interests.

Conducting the Planning from the Perspective of National Development Strategy

Regarding the planning of China-Pakistan Economic Corridor, Pakistan is highly motivated and takes swift action because the economic corridor is mainly located within the border of Pakistan which is directly related to Pakistani industrial layout and economic development. For example, Pakistan has clearly stated that it plans to build eight special economic zones along the economic corridor and invites Chinese enterprises to settle down, helping Pakistan to develop import and export processing industries, manufacturing industries, agriculture, and infrastructure construction. Prime Minister Sharif is very concerned about this project. After listening to the reports of the federal planning, telecommunication and railway department, he emphasized to accelerate the construction of China-Pakistan railway. Hence, China should also conduct the planning from the perspective of national development strategy. As to Pakistan proposal for building eight special economic zones, should China analyze the feasibility and functionality of Pakistani plans and carry out field studies? Is the geographic location of these special economic zones suitable for China to smoothly transfer our over-capacity industries? Also, could these special economic zones protect the security of the economic corridor? All these issues need to be considered in formulating the economic corridor plan.

Adjusting Chinese Investment's Target from State-owned Enterprises to Pakistani Private Enterprises

Chinese economy is dominated by state-owned and public ownership economy, while Pakistani economy is based on private-owned economy. It is hard to match up with these two different economic systems as they have different interests. Thus, China needs to appropriately adjust its policy, making more investments in Pakistani private enterprises, rather than focusing on state-owned enterprises as before.

„Giving Before Taking“ and „Giving More, Taking Less“

The ‘Giving before Taking’ ‘Giving More, Taking Less’ investment policy is proposed. The ‘Giving before Taking’ is a win-win strategy for mutual benefit. Through a series of diplomatic policies of ‘Making Pakistan Prosperous First’, China has promoted and consolidated the brotherly friendship with Pakistan and laid a solid foundation for jointly developing and utilizing strategic resources between two countries. ‘Giving First’ could promote ‘Making Pakistan Prosperous’ and then promote ‘Secure Neighborhood’ relationship, and finally realize mutual benefit and win-win objective. The detailed suggestions are stated as follows:

Support Pakistan to develop its comparatively advantageous industries. China could lower the tax on Pakistani products with comparative advantages and grant tariff reduction or exemption treatment, so as to promote Pakistan to achieve economic growth. The ‘Giving First’ policy aiming to ‘Making Pakistan

Prosperous' shall be implemented firstly. China and Pakistan should avoid the industrial competition as possible to further expand import, relax foreign exchange control and provide low-interest loans. Through establishing enterprises, contracting projects and labor exporting, China supports Pakistan to develop its comparatively advantageous industries, such as mining, agriculture, and animal husbandry industries. Based on the principle of expanding common interests, China seeks to a long-term common development with Pakistan. Lay a solid foundation for Chinese enterprises and Pakistan to jointly develop and make use of its strategic resources by implementing the policy of 'Giving First'. China should accelerate the implementation of 'Going Global' strategy, encourage and guide Chinese enterprises to explore overseas market, increase investments in Pakistan and engage in multinational businesses.

Strengthening Academic Research on CPEC

China needs to strengthen academic research on China-Pakistan Economic Corridor, providing theoretical and Academic supports for implementing national development strategy. Currently, research is insufficient in this area in China, and the research team has not established yet. Thus, the Chinese government needs to pay necessary attention to and provide required support for this area.

Setting up a Visiting Group to Conduct Field Studies in Pakistan

It is recommended to set up a visiting group, consisting of the relevant personnel from government agencies, academic institutions and colleges & universities, to conduct field studies in Pakistan to evaluate cultural and geographic conditions, social and political development, actual economic conditions and security situation along the economic corridor, and provide the theoretical basis for the economic corridor planning, so as to ensure the corridor to be constructed orderly and healthily.

Establishing China-Pakistan Forum Mechanism

It is recommended to establish China-Pakistan forum mechanism to promote the implementation of China Pakistan Economic Corridor plan. The forum mechanism could be multi-layer based, including official and nongovernment participation, economic, and cultural involvement, to carry out multi-layer dialogues and communications in a wide range of areas. The forum mechanism could promote the significance of the economic corridor among the two peoples and encourage them to actively participate in its construction.

Training Talents for the Construction of the Economic Corridor

It is recommended to train talents for the construction of the economic corridor. The economic corridor is a huge project, involving the construction of highway, railway, oil and gas pipeline, special economic zone and port, etc. The construction will last a long time, and thus it is important to train some talents. We hope that relevant departments could pay attention to this issue from now on and formulate talent training plan.

Generally, China and Pakistan have established brotherly friendships over the last 60 years and shared a high level Of mutual political trust. The construction of China and Pakistan economic corridor is a national strategy for both countries. It is in line with the interests of both sides and supported by the two peoples.

