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 **Case study**

**Made in the U.S.A—Dumped in brazil, Africa, iraq………**

 When it comes………………………………………………………………………………………………….. ……………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………….……………………………………………………………………………………………………grown worse.

**QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

**Q.NO.1 Explain what dumping is, giving some examples. Does dumping raise any moral issue? What are they? What would an ethical relavist say about dumping?**

**ANS. DUMPING**

 In my point of view when USA government banned something which is made in america and then after that the manufatures place that products in third world with a reduce price and somethimes with a different name knowing that it is harmful for peoples. But for profit or get rid of it they do that. They are used in third world

**EXAMPLE**

 Im taking example from the case study. When Dalkon shield an american company has a device but its adverse reaction which was pelvic inflammation, blood poisining, tubal pregnancies, E.T.C. After loosig its domestic market, they sign a with office of population within the U.S. agency for international development. And bought a thousand of devices aat a reduce price for use in population-control programs in 42 countries. So they do dumping here.

**Does dumping raise any moral issue?**

 Yes it creat a moral issue.

**What are they?**

 In dumping we know that it is harmful for others but people do it for profit. We all are brothers if we harm our brothers so this will be the big moral failure. And everywhere americans talk about health and human peace but there they are fail.

**What would an ethical relativist say about dumping.**

 An ethical relativist will first tell you whatg is dumping what people do it. The country who is dumping that has no legal issues. Government do not tatally block or vast it.no norms are present in the country.

 **P.T.O**

**Q.no 2. Speculate on why dumpers dump. Do you think they believe that what they are doing is morally permissible? How would you look at the situation if you were one of the manufactures of tris impregnated pajamas?**

**Ans.** When there is a restriction make on some product in USA because product has side effects and can harm the human and animal. But due to already a lot of stocks they want to get rid of it or want to not make total lose they dump in third world which is morally wrong to the people of third world.

And I were in situation of impregnated pajamas . I am muslim and muslim and muslim belief on Allah. I burnt all the products in stock and take back the stock which is present in stores. I cannot want to dump the products in other world. I don’t want to give harm my brothers and sisiters.

 **p.t.o**

**Q.no.3. if no law is broken, is there any wrong with dumping ? if so, when it is wrong and why? Do any moral considerations support product overseas when this violates US law?**

**Ans.** If there is no law still this is wrong to dump product. The products are harm to human is there is no law. But here is is unsafe environment and animals. It is totally wrong if america not use it in his country and going to try on overseas. And no moral consideration support product overseas when it violates in USA. How can someone be that much irresponsible.

 **P.T.O**

**Q.no.4. what moral differences, if any, does it make who is dumping, why they are doing it, where they are doing it, what the product is?**

**Ans.** Morally it is wrong to dump product in other country which is harmful for human and animals. But here this will be right if they dump over product which cannot be harm to animal and human. If someone is going to financial loss and for this purpose he do it so it will be morally wrong. But without financial loss he dump good product then its OK. The U.S.A doing this wrong dumping. And most of the products on crops,some of them are for modical use and some are clothing materials.

 **P.T.O**

**Q.no.5. Critically assess the present notification system. Is it the right approach, or is it fundamentally** **flawed?**

**Ans.** The notification system regulates the exports of banned or harmful product to third world. This sysytem is a good step but it is totally flawed. Where the USA dumped their products is a poor countries which do not have any power or healthy excess to control on imports. So all the system is of no use. All the agencies have been failed in notifying the stae government of the products which they banned. They all work as a team in other side os a wall.

 **P.T.O**

**Q.no.6. putting aside the questions of legality, what moral arguments can be given for against dumping?what is your positionon dumping, and what principles and values do you base it on?should we have laws prohibiting more types of dumping?**

**Ans.** Dumping products in others countries for human violation is totally wrong. no one in the world will allow someone that that products which has been rejected in his country and going to dumped in other country.sometimes america try it on other country crops for backing the products or selling their own crops. In every country their should be a strict rule in law and a huge amount of fine n shoulf be given by the person who do dumping. And should raise a case on USA in world health organization that they are doing wrong to third world.
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