

NAME	KASHIF AHMED
ID	14225
PROGRAM	BBA
SEMESTER	6 <sup>TH</sup>

## BUSINESS ETHICS

### ANSWER SHEET

Solve the questions according to your own understanding. Each carries (5 marks)

**Q1) Explain what dumping is, giving some examples. Does dumping raise any moral issues? What are they? What would an ethical relativist say about dumping?**

**ANS)** The dumping is that manufacturers who export their products at a cheaper purchase price to overseas countries that have not qualified for health insurance. In this case, the manufacturers sold pajamas for young children that contained a dangerous chemical “Tris” that could harm children's health. The second example is that of manufacturers who have sold 450,000 baby lollipops that can cause death by choking and selling pesticides and banned drugs in the United States. Dumping has raised a moral problem that these manufacturers want to avoid losing profits. Although they know that these products can harm people's health and safety, they are still sold abroad and have argued that people should be free to choose their advantage.



**Q2) Speculate on why dumpers dump. Do you think they believe that what they are doing is morally permissible? How would you look at the situation if you were one of the manufacturers of Tris-impregnated pajamas?**

**ANS)** Companies are dumping their products in other countries because, for some reason, their product cannot be used or sold for long. The manufacturer can sell or dump their product in other countries, which in turn leads to product revenue rather than losing money. Some manufacturers do not want to take the time and money to properly dispose of their product, as required by the security authorities. I think the manufacturers who bring their product to other countries do not believe they are doing something wrong because it is not against the law. Companies that do not have moral standards do not believe they are doing something wrong, even if they know that their product is harmful in other countries. If I were one of the creators of tri-impregnated pajamas and I would find the legal way to get rid of these pajamas (bury, burn or use and clean industrially clothes). Next, I would like to research each pajama removal option and then share my results with the management team where I would decide which option would be better. Then we got rid of the pajamas the right way.



**Q3) If no law is broken, is there anything wrong with dumping? If so, when is it wrong and why? Do any moral considerations support dumping products overseas when this violates U.S. law?**

- **ANS)** Precisely because no law can be broken, it is still morally incorrect for companies to be dumping a precarious product.
- It is wrong when the product they discharge can harm the environment, the animal, humans, etc. or the product is used on crops that eventually return to the United States.
- If the product is safe and the manufacturer has made more than abundance, then I think it is morally right to download products in other countries such as those countries that do not have or need these products to survive.



**Q4) What moral difference, if any, does it make who is dumping, why they are doing it, where they are doing it, or what the product is?**

**ANS)** That makes a big difference. If it is a company that is dumping chemicals because they are illegal in the United States and causes cancer, then it is morally

incorrect. If it is a company that produces more winter coats and needs to clean a warehouse there and unload them in countries that need them, then this is morally correct. If a company is dumping because it does not want to dispose of the product properly or wants to take advantage of it, then it is morally incorrect. But if a company is dumping because it is producing more than one safe product and not looking for profit, then this is morally correct. If a product can harm the environment, animals, humans, etc., then it is morally incorrect. But if the product does not hurt anyone or anything, then it is morally correct.



**Q5) Critically assess the present notification system. Is it the right approach, or is it fundamentally flawed?**

**ANS)** This is the right approach, but it is fundamentally imperfect if other countries do not use the information. In theory, the current notification system will do the right thing. It is morally correct to inform countries of the products that the United States has banned from using. But it is fundamentally flawed if other countries do not use the information given to them to inform their people of the dangers of using the product. Some of these countries do not have regulatory bodies to ensure the safety of products introduced into their countries. They do not have adequate testing facilities to test the products that companies wish to bring to their countries and do not have well-equipped customs services to ensure that dangerous products are not brought into their country.



**Q6) Putting aside the question of legality, what moral arguments can be given for and against dumping? What is your position on dumping, and what principles and values do you base it on? Should we have laws prohibiting more types of dumping?**

**ANS)** A professional to dumping products in third world countries can help these countries with products they would never otherwise get. But the scam on dumping dangerous products in these countries can actually kill people and in some cases the dump product can actually end up in the United States. I do not agree with the dumping of illegal products in other countries. Not only is it morally incorrect, but some of these

government counties do not care or understand the consequences of the potential harm of these products may cause. Killing goes against the law, and companies kill people in other countries when they dump dangerous illegal products in their country. It is said in the Bible and it is one of the Ten Commandments that will not kill and that is what these companies do when they dumping these dangerous products. We should have laws against the dumping of illegal products in other countries and these companies should be heavily fined and/or closed.

