



# Standard Test Method for Determining Fungi Resistance of Insulation Materials and Facings<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation C 1338; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon ( $\epsilon$ ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

## 1. Scope

1.1 This test method covers the determination of the ability of new insulation materials and their facings to support fungal growth.

1.2 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

1.3 The values stated in inch-pound units are to be regarded as the standard. The values given in parentheses are provided for information only.

## 2. Significance and Use

2.1 The type of materials used in the manufacture of insulation products and the type of membrane used to face these products can sometimes affect fungi sustenance in the presence of high humidity.

2.2 This test method is used to determine the relative ability of an insulation and its facing to support or resist fungal growth under conditions favorable for their development.

2.3 This test method uses a comparative material to determine the relative ability of a material to support fungal growth. In some specialized product areas, it is required that no growth take place. In such cases, the use of the comparative material is omitted and the pass/fail criterion is based upon growth.

## 3. Apparatus

3.1 *Petri Plates*—Sterile plastic disposable dishes are preferred.

3.2 *Environmental Chamber or Cabinet*—Equipment for this test method shall maintain a temperature of 82.4 to 86°F (28 to 30°C) and a relative humidity of 95 % ( $\pm 4$  %). Provisions shall be made to prevent condensation from dripping on the test specimen. There shall be free circulation of air around the test chamber.

3.3 *Atomizer*—A chromatography atomizer capable of providing  $100\,000 \pm 20\,000$  spores/in.<sup>2</sup> ( $15\,000 \pm 3000$  spores/cm<sup>2</sup>) shall be used for inoculation.

3.4 *Autoclavable Biohazard Bags*, or metal pan able to withstand autoclaving.

## 4. Reagents and Materials

4.1 *Purity of Water*—Unless otherwise specified, references to water shall be understood to mean sterile distilled water or water of equal purity.

### 4.2 Inoculum:

Fungi	ATCC <sup>2</sup>
Aspergillus niger	9642
Aspergillus versicolor	11 730
Penicillium funiculosum	11 797
Chaetomium globosum	6205
Aspergillus flavus	9643

4.3 *Cultures*—Maintain cultures of the Aspergillus fungi separately on Czapek Dox agar (see Note 1). Culture the Chaetomium globosum on strips of Whatman 500 filter paper on the surface of Czapek Dox agar. Maintain the Penicillium fungi on Sabouraud Dextrose agar. The stock cultures may be kept for not more than 4 months at  $43 \pm 7^\circ\text{F}$  ( $6 \pm 4^\circ\text{C}$ ) at which time subcultures shall be made, and new stocks selected from the subcultures. Obtain new cultures from ATCC annually. Incubate subcultures used for preparing new stock cultures or the spore suspension at  $86 \pm 4^\circ\text{F}$  ( $30 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ ) for 5 days or longer.

NOTE 1—This media is readily available from any science/microbiological supply house.

## 5. Specimens

5.1 *Viability Specimens*—Determine the viability of the spore suspension during incubation with these controls: with each daily group of tests, place one piece of sterilized Whatman 500 filter paper, 1 in.<sup>2</sup> (6.4 cm<sup>2</sup>) on each of two prepared hardened Czapek Dox agar specimens in separate petri dishes. Prepare a third petri dish with Sabouraud Dextrose agar.

5.2 *Comparative Material*—A white birch tongue depressor, 0.75 by 6 in. (20 by 150 mm) or southern yellow pine is the comparative item to determine the relative growth on specimens being tested. The choice of comparative item should be noted.

NOTE 2—The comparative item is chosen to reflect the building construction material. In some cases, other material may be more relevant as a comparative item.

### 5.3 Test Specimens:

5.3.1 Prepare duplicate 6 in. (150 mm) specimens from each test sample.

5.3.1.1 If the test sample is of a different construction on

<sup>1</sup> This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee C-16 on Thermal Insulation and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee C16.31 on Chemical and Physical Properties.

Current edition approved June 10, 1996. Published July 1996.

<sup>2</sup> Available from American Type Culture Collection, 12301 Parklawn Drive, Rockville, MD 20852.

each face, run two duplicate specimens of each face in the up orientation.

5.3.2 The preferred specimen thickness is 0.75 in. (20 mm). If altering the specimen thickness is required, ensure that the surface to be tested has not been altered and is in the up position. If the normal specimen thickness is less than 0.75 in. (20 mm) then test at its normal thickness. The specimen shall not contact the lid of the petri dish.

5.3.2.1 When testing loose materials such as blowing or pouring insulations, determine the required mass of material (from the manufacturer's installed density), that would be needed to fill a 6 by 6 by 0.75 in. (150 by 150 by 20 mm) volume. Use that amount of material in the petri dish in as close to the proper specimen configuration as possible.

5.3.2.2 Maintain a relative humidity of  $95 \pm 4\%$ . Refer to the appropriate materials standard for sample preparation recommendations.

5.3.2.3 Aseptically transfer approximately one third of the specimen to a petri plate and gently tamp down to a smooth surface. This will facilitate microscopic examination.

5.3.3 It is sometimes desirable to test the adhesive used to bond a facing to the substrate. In such case only, peel back the facing approximately half way across the face of the specimen before testing. Note this change clearly in the report.

## 6. Procedure

6.1 *Spore Suspension*—Prepare a spore suspension of each of the five fungi by pouring into one subculture of each fungus a 10 mL portion of a sterile solution containing a sufficient quantity, not to exceed 0.10 g/L, of a nontoxic wetting agent such as sorbitan monooleate (Tween-80), sodium dioctyl sulfosuccinate, or sodium lauryl sulfate to prevent clumping of the spores. Gently scrape the surface growth from the culture of the test organism using a sterile platinum or nichrome inoculating wire. Pour the spore charge into a sterile 125-mL glass-stoppered Erlenmeyer flask containing  $45 \pm 1$  mL of sterile water, and 50 to 75 solid glass beads, approximately 0.20 in. (5 mm) in diameter. Vigorously shake the flask to liberate the spores from the fruiting bodies and to break the spore clumps. Filter the dispersed fungal spore suspension through at least a 0.24-in. (6-mm) layer of glass wool contained in a glass funnel, into a sterile flask. This process is intended to remove large mycelial fragments and clumps of agar that could interfere with the spraying process. Centrifuge the filtered spore suspension. Remove all the supernatant down to the surface of the spore pellet, taking care not to remove or disturb the spore pellet. Resuspend the residue in 50 mL of sterile water and centrifuge. (It may be necessary to add a small quantity of nontoxic wetting agent, not to exceed 0.10 g/L, to prevent clumping of the spores.) Wash the spores obtained from each of the fungi in this manner three times. Dilute the final washed residue with distilled water in such a manner that the resultant spore suspension shall contain  $1\,000\,000 \pm 200\,000$  spores per mL as determined with a counting chamber. Repeat the operation for each organism used in the test and blend equal volumes of the resultant spore suspensions to obtain the final mixed spore suspension. The spore suspension may be prepared fresh each day or may be held at  $43 \pm 7^\circ\text{F}$  ( $6 \pm 4^\circ\text{C}$ ) for not more than 28 days, or until the viability

test indicates poor growth, or until growth appears in the sealed storage bottle.

6.2 *Inoculation of Test Specimens, Comparative Material, and Control Specimens*—Precondition the chamber and its contents at  $86 \pm 4^\circ\text{F}$  ( $30 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ ) and  $95 \pm 4\%$  relative humidity for at least 4 h. Place the test specimens, comparative material, and control specimen in a sterile petri dish and inoculate with the mixed fungus spore suspension by spraying it on these items in a fine mist from a previously sterilized atomizer or nebulizer. In spraying the test specimens, comparative item, and control specimens, take care to spray all surfaces. Care should be taken to ensure that all samples and controls receive the same amount of inoculum ( $10^5$  spores per test specimen). Place the lid on the petri dish for the remainder of the test. Place the test specimens, comparative material, and control specimen on shelves. Start incubation immediately following the inoculation.

6.3 *Incubation*—Maintain the test chamber at  $86 \pm 4^\circ\text{F}$  ( $30 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ ) and a relative humidity of  $95 \pm 4\%$  throughout the test. Keep the test chamber closed during incubation except during inspection. After 3 to 7 days, inspect the control specimens. If control specimens do not show an abundance of growth at this time, repeat the entire test. If growth is present on the control specimens, continue the test for a minimum period of 28 days  $\pm 8$  h from the time of incubation. Some materials may require longer periods of incubation, therefore, the test period may be extended. Note all incubation times. Refer to the materials specifications for incubation periods.

## 7. Interpretation of Results

7.1 *Inspection*—At the end of the incubation period, remove the test specimens and comparative item from the test chamber and examine at  $40\times$  magnification.

7.2 *Interpretation of Results*—Test specimens that have growth greater than that on the comparative item shall be considered to have failed. Test specimens on which the growth is not greater than that on the comparative item shall be considered to have passed.

7.2.1 If no growth is the criterion, the observation of any growth on the test specimen shall be considered a failure.

7.3 After completion of inspection, all test specimens and test equipment shall be autoclaved under the manufacturer's autoclave instructions to ensure destruction of vegetative cells and spores to prevent accidental contamination to the laboratory and environment.

## 8. Report

8.1 Report the following information:

8.1.1 Complete identification of the material tested,

8.1.2 Identification of variable test conditions (comparative item, sample moistening, test duration) criterion used to determine Pass/Fail (comparative item or no growth), and

8.1.3 Results of the test.

## 9. Precision and Bias

9.1 No statement is made about either the precision or the bias of this fungi resistance test method since the test is a subjective, visual determination of whether the test material differs from a comparative material.

**10. Keywords**

resistance; insulation; loose-fill; mineral fiber

10.1 batts; blanket; boards; cellulose; facings; foam; fungi

*The American Society for Testing and Materials takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.*

*This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA 19428.*